

HIGHWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE 26 April 2016

Subject neading:	residents parking area and 'At Any Time' Waiting Restrictions- comments to advertised proposals
CMT Lead:	Andrew Blake-Herbert
Report Author and contact details:	Dean R Martin Technical Support Assistant Schemes@Havering.gov.uk
Policy context:	Traffic & Parking Control
Financial summary:	The estimated cost of £800 for implementation will be met by 2016/17 revenue budget for Minor Traffic and Parking.

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Havering will be clean and its environment will be cared for	[x]
People will be safe, in their homes and in the community	[x]
Residents will be proud to live in Havering	[x]

SUMMARY

St Andrews and Hacton Wards

This report outlines the responses received to the formal consultation providing additional residents parking places and 'At Any Time' waiting restrictions along the flank wall of No. 62 Mill Park Avenue and over the vehicle crossover of No. 60 Mill Park Avenue and recommends a further course of action.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. That the Highways Advisory Committee having considered this report and the representations made, recommends to the Cabinet Member for Environment that:
- a. The proposed additional residents parking area along the flank wall of No. 62 Mill Park Avenue and the 'At Any Time' waiting restrictions across the vehicular access of No.60 Mill Park Avenue, as shown on the plan appended to this report as Appendix A, be implemented as advertised;
- b. The effect of any agreed proposals be monitored.
- 2. Members note that the estimated cost for the proposals, as set out in this report is £800, which will be met from the 2016/17 Minor Parking Schemes budget.

REPORT DETAIL

1.0 Background

- 1.1 At its meeting in December 2015 this Committee agreed in principle to introduce the advertised residents parking scheme for Mavis Grove and Mill Park Avenue. Further to this, it was also agreed that consideration should be given to the provision of a further residents parking provision along the flank wall of No.62 Mill Park Avenue; a small section of double yellow line was also designed to segregate this parking area and prevent obstructive parking over the vehicle crossover to No. 60 Mill Park Avenue. The proposals are shown on the plan appended to this report as Appendix A
- 1.2 The proposals were subsequently designed and publicly advertised on 15th January 2015. All those affected by the proposals were advised of them by letter with the attached plan. Eighteen statutory bodies were also consulted. Site notices were also placed at the location.

2.0 Responses received

2.1 At the close of public consultation on Friday 5th February 2016, 7 responses were received, all in favour of the proposals.

3.0 Staff Comment

3.1 Having considered the proposals, officers have identified and assessed the potential negative impact that the parking scheme poses to residents and

businesses, and recommends to the Committee that all of the proposals be implemented as advertised.

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Financial implications and risks:

The estimated cost of implementing the proposals as described above and shown on the attached plan is £800 which can be met from the 2016/17 Minor Parking Schemes budget.

The costs shown are an estimate of the full costs of the scheme, should it be implemented. A final decision would be made by the Lead Member in regards to actual implementation and scheme detail. Therefore, final costs may be subject to change.

This is a standard project for StreetCare and there is no expectation that the works cannot be contained within the cost estimate. There is an element of contingency built into the financial estimate. In the unlikely event of an overspend, the balance would need to be contained within the StreetCare overall Minor Parking Schemes revenue budget.

Legal implications and risks:

Waiting restrictions and parking bays require public consultation and the advertisement of proposals before a decision can be taken on their introduction.

Human Resources implications and risks:

It is anticipated that the enforcement activities required for these proposals can be met from within current staff resources.

Equalities implications and risks:

Parking restrictions have the potential to displace parking to adjacent areas, which may be detrimental to others. However, the Council has a general duty under the Equality Act 2010 to ensure that its highway network is accessible to all. Where infrastructure is provided or substantially upgraded, reasonable adjustments should be made to improve access. In considering the impacts and making improvements for people with protected characteristics (mainly, but not limited to disabled people, children, young people and older people), this will assist the Council in meeting its duty under the act.

The proposals included in the report have been publicly advertised and subject to public consultation. All residents perceived to be affected by the proposals have been consulted informally and formally by letter and plan. Eighteen statutory bodies were also consulted and site notices were placed at the location.

The recommendation is for the proposal to be implemented as advertised and the effects be monitored on a regular basis to ensure any equality negative impacts are mitigated. Staff will monitor the effects of these proposals, especially relating to these groups, and if it is considered that further changes are necessary, the issues will be reported back to this Committee so that a further course of action can be agreed.

There will be some physical and visual impact from the required signing and lining works. Where infrastructure is provided or substantially upgraded, reasonable adjustments should be made to improve access for disabled, which will assist the Council in meeting its duties under the Equality Act 2010.

BACKGROUND PAPER

Appendix A

